From: [redacted]
To: Crown Casework
Cc: calum.miller.mp@parliament.uk; wpwsobjection@gmail.com
Subject: Objection to planning application PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843, Site A, MOD Bicester
Date: 16 September 2026 20:46:09

Dear Secretary of State,

I am writing as a member of the public to object to planning application PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843, the proposed 1,256-person asylum accommodation centre at Site A, MOD Bicester, Bicester Garrison, Launton Road, Oxfordshire, OX25 1AE.

I ask that planning permission be refused.

In addition to the concerns already set out in my previous correspondence, I wish to highlight further issues relating to the suitability of this rural location. The site is isolated, with no proper bus route and extremely limited public transport. There is very little within walking distance and no meaningful local infrastructure. It is unclear what activities or services would be available for asylum seekers placed in such a remote countryside setting. Expecting over 1,200 people to live in an area with nothing to do, no amenities, and no accessible transport does not seem sensible or conducive to wellbeing.

While I agree that migrant hotels should close to reduce costs to taxpayers, I do not see how housing over 1,200 people on a disused MOD site makes financial or practical sense. This land was never an accommodation site; there were no barracks, and the proposal would require very substantial expenditure to make the site usable. It is difficult to understand how this represents a cost-effective or appropriate solution.

I also remain deeply concerned about the environmental work submitted. The ecological and environmental surveys appear incomplete and poorly executed, with key assessments either missing or insufficiently evidenced. This is particularly troubling given the scale of the development and the sensitivity of the surrounding rural environment.

Furthermore, the speed of the consultation period gives the impression that the process is being rushed. The extremely short timeframe, combined with the volume and complexity of the documentation, makes it feel as though matters are being pushed through without adequate scrutiny. This approach risks undermining public confidence and raises questions about transparency.

Taken together, these issues reinforce my view that the applicant has not demonstrated that Site A is a suitable or sustainable location for a development of

this scale.

I therefore respectfully request that planning permission be refused.

If the Secretary of State is nevertheless minded to approve the application, I ask that no decision is made until outstanding work concerning drainage, flooding, wastewater, contamination, ecology, highways, pedestrian safety, power supply, lighting, noise, fire safety and decommissioning has been completed and made available for proper scrutiny.

Yours faithfully,

[redacted]

[redacted]